

Asaad K. Siddiqi, Esq. (NJSBA No. AS-9150)  
Pashman Stein Walder Hayden  
Court Plaza South  
21 Main Street, Suite 200  
Hackensack, NJ 07601-7054  
201-639-2009 - direct dial  
973-436-4226 - fax  
[aksiddiqi@pashmanstein.com](mailto:aksiddiqi@pashmanstein.com)

John P. Fuller, Esq., *pro hac vice pending*  
Fuller, Fuller & Associates, P.A.  
12000 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 609  
North Miami, FL 33181  
Tel. (305) 891-5199  
[jpf@fullerfuller.com](mailto:jpf@fullerfuller.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  
CAMDEN VICINAGE

DENNIS MAURER, Individually, :  
Plaintiff, : Case No.  
vs. :  
DELSEA 205 LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, :  
a New Jersey Limited Liability Company, :  
Defendant. :  
/

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, DENNIS MAURER, Individually, on his behalf and on behalf of all other mobility impaired individuals similarly situated, hereby sues the Defendant, DELSEA 205 LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company (sometimes referred to as "Defendant"), for Injunctive Relief, damages, attorney's fees, litigation expenses,

and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* ("ADA") and the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination (N.J.S.A.).

**COUNT I**

**VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE  
AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12181, et seq.**

1. Plaintiff, Dennis Maurer, is an individual residing at 8 Prospect Avenue, Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234, in the County of Cumberland.
2. Defendant's property, DELSEA SHOPPING CENTER, a shopping center, is located at 215-237 South Delsea Drive, Vineland, NJ 08360, in the County of Cumberland.
3. Venue is properly located in the District of New Jersey because venue lies in the judicial district of the property situs. The Defendant's property is located in and does business within this judicial district.
4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from the Defendant's violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* See also 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202.
5. Plaintiff DENNIS MAURER is a New Jersey resident, is *sui juris*, and qualifies as an individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Mr. Maurer has multiple sclerosis and is mobility impaired, and uses a wheelchair for mobility. Dennis Maurer has visited the property which forms the basis of this lawsuit and plans to return to the property in the near future to avail himself of the goods and services offered to the public at the property. The Plaintiff has encountered architectural barriers at the subject property. The barriers to access at the property have endangered his safety.

6. Defendant owns, leases, leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation as defined by the ADA and the regulations implementing the ADA, 28 CFR 36.201(a) and 36.104. Defendant is responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA. The place of public accommodation that the Defendant owns, operates, leases or leases to is known as DELSEA SHOPPING CENTER, a shopping center located at 215-237 South Delsea Drive, Vineland, NJ 08360.

7. Dennis Maurer has a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from the Defendant's non-compliance with the ADA with respect to this property as described but not necessarily limited to the allegations in paragraph 9 of this complaint. Plaintiff has reasonable grounds to believe that they will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by the Defendant. Dennis Maurer desires to visit DELSEA SHOPPING CENTER not only to avail himself of the goods and services available at the property but to assure himself that this property is in compliance with the ADA so that he and others similarly situated will have full and equal enjoyment of the property without fear of discrimination.

8. The Defendant has discriminated against the individual Plaintiff and others similarly situated by denying them access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the buildings, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 et seq.

9. The Defendant has discriminated, and is continuing to discriminate, against the Plaintiff in violation of the ADA by failing to, inter alia, have accessible facilities by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). A preliminary inspection of DELSEA SHOPPING CENTER has shown that

violations exist. These violations that Dennis Maurer personally observed or encountered include, but are not limited to:

**Parking and Exterior Accessible Route**

- a. Parking spaces throughout Delsea Shopping Center are not maintained; lack adequate access aisles contain slopes and abrupt changes of level within the spaces, violating Sections 502 and 502.4 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards. These conditions prevent Mr. Maurer and those in wheelchairs to unload from their vehicles freely and safely.
- b. Curb ramps provided to access stores at Delsea Shopping Center are unsafe for wheelchair users and are not provided in some areas of the center. The curb ramps contain excessive slopes, abrupt changes of level and lack level landings, violating Sections 402 and 406 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards.
- c. The exterior accessible route from parking spaces at Delsea Shopping Center fails to provide a safe accessible route to ramps or curb ramps and was found to be impeded by cross slopes and abrupt changes of level, violating Section 402
- d. Delsea Shopping Center fails to provide a safe accessible route to the adjacent street or sidewalk, violating Section 206.2.1 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards.

**Access to Goods and Services**

- a. New York Sandwich Co. and Best Food in Town II fail to provide accessible dining tables for those in wheelchairs, violating Section 902 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards.
- b. Payment counters throughout Delsea Shopping Center are mounted beyond the reach of Mr. Maurer and others in wheelchairs, violating Sections 308 and 904 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards.

**Restrooms**

- a. Restrooms at Delsea Shopping Center including New York Sandwich Co and Heat Wave Salon were reported to be unsafe for use by the plaintiff. Inspection revealed Mr. Maurer was unable to use the restrooms safely due to a lack of accessibility. Including, inaccessible water closets which lack proper controls and wheelchair maneuvering space violating Section 601 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards.
- b. Restrooms at New York Sandwich Co and Heat Wave Salon provide dispensers beyond reach of wheelchair users and are inaccessible to the plaintiff, violating Section 308 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards.

c. Lavatories at New York Sandwich Co and Heat Wave Salon lack knee clearance and accessibility preventing the plaintiff from freely accessing the lavatory, violating Section 606 the 2010 Accessibility Standards.

d. New York Sandwich Co and Heat Wave Salon provides restrooms that contain improper centerlines for the water closets and flush controls mounted on the wall side, violating Section 604 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards.

e. Using restrooms doors at New York Sandwich Co and Heat Wave Salon in Delsea Shopping Center is impeded by round door knobs and a lack of maneuvering clearance, violating Section 404 of the 2010 Accessibility Standards.

10. All of the foregoing violations are also violations of the 1991 Revised Americans with Disabilities Act Guidelines, and the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design (ADAAG), as promulgated by the U.S. Department of Justice.

11. The discriminatory violations described in paragraph 9 are not an exclusive list of the Defendant's ADA violations. Plaintiff requires the inspection of the Defendant's place of public accommodation in order to photograph and measure all of the discriminatory acts violating the ADA and all of the barriers to access. The individual Plaintiff, and all other individuals similarly situated, have been denied access to, and have been denied the benefits of services, programs and activities of the Defendant's buildings and its facilities, and have otherwise been discriminated against and damaged by the Defendant because of the Defendant's ADA violations, as set forth above. The individual Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein. In order to remedy this discriminatory situation, the Plaintiff requires an inspection of the Defendant's place of public accommodation in order to determine all of the areas of non-compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

12. Defendant has discriminated against the Plaintiff by denying him access to full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or

accommodations of its place of public accommodation or commercial facility in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 CFR 36.302 et seq. Furthermore, the Defendant continues to discriminate against the Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such efforts that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.

13. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm. Considering the balance of hardships between the Plaintiff and Defendant, a remedy in equity is warranted. Furthermore, the public interest would not be disserved by a permanent injunction. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel and is entitled to recover attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses from the Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505.

14. Defendant is required to remove the existing architectural barriers to the physically disabled when such removal is readily achievable for its place of public accommodation that have existed prior to January 26, 1992, 28 CFR 36.304(a); in the alternative, if there has been an alteration to Defendant's place of public accommodation since January 26, 1992, then the Defendant is required to ensure to the maximum extent feasible, that the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, 28 CFR 36.402; and finally, if the Defendant's facility is one which was designed and constructed for first occupancy subsequent to January 26, 1993, as defined in 28 CFR 36.401, then the Defendant's facility must be readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA.

15. Notice to Defendant is not required as a result of the Defendant's failure to cure the violations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). All other conditions precedent have been met by Plaintiff or waived by the Defendant.

16. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant Plaintiff Injunctive Relief, including an order to require the Defendant to alter DELSEA SHOPPING CENTER to make those facilities readily accessible and useable to the Plaintiff and all other persons with disabilities as defined by the ADA; or by closing the facility until such time as the Defendant cures its violations of the ADA.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests:

- a. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that the Defendant at the commencement of the subject lawsuit is in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq.
- b. Injunctive relief against the Defendant including an order to make all readily achievable alterations to the facility; or to make such facility readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA; and to require the Defendant to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities; and by failing to take such steps that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.
- c. An award of attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to

42 U.S.C. § 12205.

d. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

**COUNT II**  
**VIOLATION OF NEW JERSEY LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION**

17. Plaintiff realleges all prior obligations as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in all of the proceeding paragraphs.

18. Defendant's facility is a place of public accommodation as defined by N.J.S.A. 10:5-5, (New Jersey Law Against Discrimination). New Jersey law provides that all persons shall have the opportunity to obtain all the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any place of public accommodation without discrimination on the basis of disability. This opportunity is recognized and declared to be a civil right. (See, N.J.S.A. 10:5-4.)

19. As a result of the aforementioned discrimination, Plaintiff Dennis Maurer has sustained emotional distress, mental anguish and suffering and humiliation, and other injuries, in violation of the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination.

**WHEREFORE**, Dennis Maurer demands judgment for damages, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, including expert fees and costs pursuant to the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 19, 2016



Asaad K. Siddiqi, Esq. (NJSBA No. AS-9150)  
Pashman Stein Walder Hayden  
Court Plaza South  
21 Main Street, Suite 200  
Hackensack, NJ 07601-7054  
201-639-2009 - direct dial  
973-436-4226 - fax  
[akssiddiqi@pashmanstein.com](mailto:akssiddiqi@pashmanstein.com)

and

John P. Fuller, Esq., *pro hac vice pending*  
FULLER, FULLER & ASSOCIATES, P.A.  
12000 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 502  
North Miami, FL 33181  
(305) 891-5199  
(305) 893-9505 - Facsimile  
[jpfuller@fullerfuller.com](mailto:jpfuller@fullerfuller.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiff Dennis Maurer*